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#### Abstract

This paper proposes an adaptive controller for partially known system and applies to a twowheeled Welding Mobile Robot (WMR) to track a reference welding path at a constant velocity of the welding point. To design the tracking controller, the errors from WMR to steel wall is defined, and the controller is designed to drive the errors to zero as fast as desired. Additionally, a scheme of error measurement is implemented on the WMR to meet the need of the controller. In this paper, the system moments of inertia are considered to be partially unknown parameters which are estimated using update laws in adaptive control scheme. The simulations and experiments on a welding mobile robot show the effectiveness of the proposed controller.
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## 1. Introduction

Welding automation has been increasingly used since they are of much benefit to welding applications in terms of weld quality, increased productivity, reduced welding costs, and increased weld consistency. Arc welding is among potential applications for the robot which places high demands on the technology. Specifically, the commercial arc welding system relies on workers to fix the parts to be welded accurately, and then the robot goes through a programmed welding sequence ; in the other field, of the shipbuilding industry, an arc weld has to be made along a joint between two large work pieces of metal to make modules of ship. In this case, the welding accuracy turns to be important, and the problem

[^0]can be solved with the robot which delete can detect the deviation between the welding torch and the joint using sensors in general.

A mobile robot is one of the well-known systems with non-holonomic constrains that are not integral. There are many works on the tracking control method for the mobile robot in literatures (Jeon et al., 2001 ; Lefeber et al., 2001 ; Gonzalez et al., 2000 ; Fukao et al., 2000 ; Tsuchia et al., 1999 ; Taybei and Rachid, 1997 ; Mukherjee et al., 1996 ; Yun and Sarkar, 1996 ; Zheng and Moore, 1995; Fierro and Lewis, 1995; Sarkar et al., 1994 ; Yun and Yamamoto, 1993). These works are mostly on kinematic models and the other works are on dynamic models. Sarkar et al. (1994) proposed a nonlinear feedback that guaranteed input-output stability and Lagrange stability for the overall system with reference paths of the straight and circular line ; Fierro et al. (1995) developed a combined kinematic/torque control law using the back-stepping approach. However, these papers did not consider the uncertainties of system parameters which always exist in mobile robot control problem ; addition-
ally, Fukao et al. (2000) dealt with adaptive tracking control of the two-wheeled mobile robot considering the model with unknown parameters in its kinematic part of overall system, and an adaptive law was proposed for updating the error of unknown parameters.
The applications of the two-wheeled mobile robot for welding automation have been studied by Jeon (2001) and Kam (2001). Jeon proposed a seam tracking and motion control of WMR for lattice type welding in which there were three controllers for motion control, such as the straight locomotion, turning locomotion, and torch slider. Kam proposed a control algorithm for straight welding based on, "trial and error" for each step time ; that is if there is "error" detected by the seam tracking sensor on the torch slider, the controller will adjust the WMR's motion for seam tracking based on a programmed schedule. The two controllers are used only for straight line tracking, not for smooth curved line tracking. They have been successfully applied to the real systems.

This paper proposes an adaptive control of the partially known system and its application to design a tracking controller for two-wheeled welding mobile robot on smooth curved welding path. The controller is stable in the sense of $L y a$ punov stability. To design such a tracking controller, a configuration of errors is defined and the controller is designed to drive the error to zero as fast as desired. Moments of inertia of the system are considered to be unknown parameters which are estimated using update laws in the adaptive control scheme. The simulations and experiments of a WMR with a smooth curved reference welding path are given to show the effectiveness of the proposed controller.

## 2. Model of Two-Wheeled Welding Mobile Robot

There are three controlled motions in this model : two driving wheels and one torch slider. By including the motion of welding torch into system dynamics, the robot can reach faster to the reference welding path and track on it.


Fig. 1 Welding mobile robot coordinate
It is assumed that the wheels roll and do not slip, which implies that the center point velocity $C$ of WMR in Fig. 1 must be in the direction of the axis of symmetry and the wheels must not slip. These constraints are presented as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{y} \cos \phi-\dot{x} \sin \phi & =0 \\
\dot{x} \cos \phi+\dot{y} \sin \phi+b \dot{\phi} & =r \omega_{r v}  \tag{1}\\
\dot{x} \cos \phi+\dot{y} \sin \phi-b \dot{\phi} & =r \omega_{t w}
\end{align*}
$$

where,
$C(x, y)$ : Cartesian coordinate of WMR's center $\phi \quad:$ the heading angle of the WMR
$\omega_{r u}, \omega_{l w}$ : the angular velocities of the right and the left wheels
$b \quad$ : the distance from WMR's center to driving wheel
$r \quad$ : driving wheel radius
The nonholonomic mobile robot platform with above constraints can be expressed as (Fukao et al., 2000)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{q}=S(q) \nu  \tag{2}\\
\bar{M}(q) \dot{\nu}+\bar{V}(q, \dot{q}) \nu=\bar{B}(q) \tau \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
\phi
\end{array}\right], S(q)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{r}{2} \cos \phi \frac{r}{2} \cos \phi \\
\frac{r}{2} \sin \phi & \frac{r}{2} \sin \phi \\
\frac{r}{2 b} & -\frac{r}{2 b}
\end{array}\right], \nu=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\omega_{r v} \\
\omega_{t w}
\end{array}\right], \\
& \bar{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{r^{2}}{4 b^{2}}\left(m b^{2}+I\right)+I_{w} & \frac{r^{2}}{4 b^{2}}\left(m b^{2}-I\right) \\
\frac{r^{2}}{4 b^{2}}\left(m b^{2}-I\right) & \frac{r^{2}}{4 b^{2}}\left(m b^{2}+I\right)+I_{w}
\end{array}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$\bar{V}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & \frac{r^{2}}{2 b} m_{c} d \dot{\phi} \\ -\frac{r^{2}}{2 b} m_{c} d \dot{\phi} & 0\end{array}\right], \bar{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right], \tau=\left[\begin{array}{l}\tau_{n v} \\ \tau_{t w}\end{array}\right]$,
$m \equiv m_{c}+2 m_{w}, I \equiv m_{c} d^{2}+2 m_{w} b^{2}+I_{c}+2 I_{m}$
$\tau_{r w}, \tau_{l w}:$ torques of the motors which act on the right and the left wheels
$m_{c}, m_{w}$ : the mass of body and wheel with a motor
$d \quad:$ the distance between the geometric center and mass center of WMR
$I_{c} \quad:$ the moment of inertia of the body about the vertical axis through WMR's mass center
$I_{w} \quad:$ the moment of inertia of the wheel with the motor about the wheel axis
$I_{m} \quad:$ the moment of inertia of the wheel with the motor about the wheel diameter

The welding point coordinates $W\left(x_{w}, y_{w}\right)$ and the heading angle $\phi_{w}$ can be calculated from the center point $C(x, y)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{w}=x-l \sin \phi \\
& y_{w}=y+l \cos \phi  \tag{4}\\
& \phi_{w}=\phi
\end{align*}
$$

From Eqs. (2) and (4), the welding point dynamics can be expressed as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{x}_{w}  \tag{5}\\
\dot{y}_{w} \\
\phi
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \phi & -l \cos \phi \\
\sin \phi & -l \sin \phi \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nu \\
\omega
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
-l \sin \phi \\
l \cos \phi \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

A point $R\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ moving with the constant velocity of $\nu_{r}$ on the reference welding path has the coordinates and the heading angle $\phi_{r}$ satisfies the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{r}=\nu_{r} \cos \phi_{r} \\
& \dot{y}_{r}=\nu_{r} \sin \phi_{r}  \tag{6}\\
& \dot{\phi}_{r}=\omega_{r}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi_{r}$ is the angle between $\vec{\nu}_{r}$ and coordinate and $\omega_{r}$ is the rate of $\vec{\nu}_{r}$ change of direction.

The objective is to design a controller so that the welding point $W$ tracks the reference point $R$ at a constant velocity $\nu_{r}$. We define the tracking errors $e=\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right]^{T}$ as shown in Fig. 1.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
e_{1}  \tag{7}\\
e_{2} \\
e_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \phi & \sin \phi & 0 \\
-\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{r}-x_{w} \\
y_{r}-y_{w} \\
\phi_{r}-\phi_{w}
\end{array}\right]
$$

A controller is designed to achieve $e_{i} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow$ $\infty$; as the result, the welding point tracks the reference welding path. From Eq. (7), the dynamics of errors can be expressed as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{e}_{1}  \tag{8}\\
\dot{e}_{2} \\
\dot{e}_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nu_{r} \cos e_{3} \\
\nu_{r} \sin e_{3}-l \\
\omega_{r}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & e_{2}+l \\
0 & -e_{1} \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nu \\
\omega
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\nu$ and $\omega$ are the straight and angular velocities of the WMR's center. The relationship between $\nu, \omega$ and $\omega_{r w}, \omega_{t w}$ can be arranged as

$$
\nu=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\omega_{r w}  \tag{9}\\
\omega_{t w}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 / r & b / r \\
1 / r & -b / r
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\nu \\
\omega
\end{array}\right]
$$

Using Eq. (9), Eq. (3) can be rewritten as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{r}{2} m+\frac{1}{r} I_{w} \\
\frac{r}{2 b} I+\frac{b}{r} I_{w} \\
\frac{r}{2} m+\frac{1}{r} I_{w}
\end{array}\right] \frac{r}{2 b} I-\frac{b}{r} I_{w}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{\nu}  \tag{10}\\
\dot{\omega}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

To derive an adaptive controller for the dynamic model of the welding mobile robot, the error dynamics, Eq. (8), and the real dynamics, Eq. (10), are used in the next section.

## 3. Adaptive Control Algorithm of the Welding Mobile Robot

### 3.1 Adaptive control algorithm of partially known system

The class of nonlinear systems with two subsystems are described as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\xi}=f(\xi)+g(\xi) \eta  \tag{11}\\
\Delta_{1} \dot{\eta}=\Delta_{2} h(\eta) \eta+k(\eta) u \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\xi \in R^{n}, \eta, u \in R^{m}, f \in R^{n}, g \in R^{n \times m}, \Delta_{1}$, $\Delta_{2}\left(\in R^{m \times m}\right)$ are diagonal matrices containing unknown parameters $\theta_{1 i}, \theta_{2 i}$, respectively; $h, k \in$ $R^{m \times m}: k(\eta)$ is invertible and $\theta_{1 i}>0$. The $\xi-$ subsystem represents the known part of the system
and the $\eta$-subsystem is the unknown part of the system.

## Theorem :

The systems (11)-(12) are stabilized by the following adaptive tracking controller
$u=k^{-1}(\eta)\left[-K_{2}(\eta-\alpha)-g^{T}(\xi) \xi+\hat{\Delta}_{1} \dot{\alpha}-\hat{\Delta}_{2} h(\eta) \eta\right]$
with the update laws

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\hat{\theta}}_{1 i}=\gamma_{1 i}\left(\eta_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right) \dot{\alpha}_{i}  \tag{14}\\
\dot{\hat{\theta}}_{2 i}=-\gamma_{2 i}\left(\eta_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{i j}(\eta) \eta_{j} \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

that is, $\xi \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$
where
$K_{2} \in R^{m \times m}$ : positive definite diagonal matrix
$\gamma_{1 i}, \gamma_{2 i}(i=1,2, \cdots, m):$ adaptive gains and positive
$\hat{\Delta}_{1}, \hat{\Delta}_{2} \quad$ : the estimation values of unknown parameters $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$
$\alpha \quad:$ the stabilizing function which satisfies the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\xi) \alpha=-K_{1} \xi-f(\xi) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$K_{1} \in R^{n \times n}:$ positive definite diagonal matrix.

## Proof:

Let $g^{+}$be the pseudo-inverse matrix of $g$. Choose the stabilizing function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=g^{+}(\xi)\left[-K_{1} \xi-f(\xi)\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the virtual control law is given $\eta=\alpha$, the subsystem (11) is stable with $\dot{\xi}=-K_{1} \xi$.

Let $z$ be the error between the virtual control and the stabilizing function, $z=\eta-\alpha$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\xi}=f(\xi)+g(\xi)(z+\alpha) \\
& \Delta_{1} \dot{z}=\Delta_{2} h(\eta)(z+\alpha)-\Delta_{1} \dot{\alpha}+k(\eta) u \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

The Lyapunov function is chosen as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \xi^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{1} z^{2} \geq 0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from Eq. (I8) and (19), the derivative of $V_{0}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{V}_{0}=\xi^{T} \dot{\xi}+z^{T} \Delta_{1} \dot{z} \\
&=-K_{1} \xi^{2}+z^{T}\left[g^{T}(\xi) \xi+\Delta_{2} h(\eta)(z+\alpha)\right.  \tag{20}\\
&\left.-\Delta_{1} \dot{\alpha}+k(\eta) u\right]
\end{align*}
$$

If the control law is chosen as

$$
\begin{align*}
u=k^{-1}(\eta) & {\left[-K_{2} z-g^{T}(\xi) \xi\right.}  \tag{21}\\
& \left.+\Delta_{1} \dot{\alpha}-\Delta_{2} h(\eta)(z+\alpha)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

then $\dot{V}_{0}=-K_{1} \xi^{2}-K_{2} z^{2} \leq 0$. By Barbalat's lemma it is shown that $z \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$; therefore, $\eta \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\xi \rightarrow 0$ by the definition of $z=\eta-\alpha$. Since $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ are unknown parameters, they are substituted by their estimation values $\hat{\Delta}_{1}, \hat{\Delta}_{2}$; and the above control law becomes as

$$
\begin{align*}
u=k^{-1}(\eta) & {\left[-K_{2} z-g^{T}(\xi) \xi\right.} \\
& \left.+\widehat{\Delta}_{1} \dot{\alpha}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2} h(\eta)(z+\alpha)\right] \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the Lyapunov function is chosen as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \xi^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{1} z^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{1} \Gamma_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{2} \Gamma_{2}\right)^{2} \geq 0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\Delta}_{i}=\Delta_{i}-\widehat{\Delta}_{i} ; \Gamma_{i}=\left[\gamma_{i 1}^{-1 / 2}, \gamma_{i 2}^{-1 / 2}, \cdots, \gamma_{i m}^{-1 / 2}\right]^{T}$, ( $i=1,2$ )

Its derivative yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}_{1}= & \xi^{T} \dot{\xi}+z^{T} \Delta_{1} \dot{z}-\Gamma_{1}^{T} \tilde{\Delta}_{1} \dot{\hat{\Delta}}_{1} \Gamma_{1}-\Gamma_{2}^{T} \tilde{\Delta}_{2} \dot{\hat{\Delta}}_{2} \Gamma_{2} \\
= & \dot{V}_{0}+z^{T}\left[\widetilde{\Delta}_{1} \dot{\alpha}-\widetilde{\Delta}_{2} h(\eta)(z+\alpha)\right] \\
& -\Gamma_{1}^{T} \tilde{\Delta}_{1} \hat{\Delta}_{1} \Gamma_{1}-\Gamma_{2}^{T} \hat{\Delta}_{2} \Gamma_{2} \\
= & \dot{V}_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{\theta}_{1 i} z_{i} \dot{\alpha}_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{\theta}_{2 i} z_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{i j}(\eta)\left(z_{j}+a_{j}\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_{1 i}^{-1} \widetilde{\theta}_{1 i} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{1 i}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_{2 i}^{-1} \widetilde{\theta}_{2 i} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{2 i}  \tag{24}\\
= & \dot{V}_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_{1 i}^{-1} \tilde{\theta}_{1 i}\left(\dot{\hat{\theta}}_{1 i}-\gamma_{1 i} z_{i} \dot{\alpha}_{i}\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_{2 i}^{-1} \tilde{\theta}_{2 i}\left(\dot{\hat{\theta}}_{2 i}+\gamma_{2 i} z_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{i j}(\eta)\left(z_{j}+\alpha_{j}\right)\right) \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

To eliminate the effects of the unknown parameters $\tilde{\theta}_{i j}$, the update laws are chosen as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{1 i}=\gamma_{1 i} z_{i} \dot{\alpha}_{i} \\
& \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{2 i}=-r_{2 i} z_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{i j}(\eta)\left(z_{j}+\alpha_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\hat{\theta}}_{1 i}=\gamma_{1 i}\left(\eta_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right) \dot{\alpha}_{i}  \tag{25}\\
\dot{\hat{\theta}}_{2 i}=-\gamma_{2 i}\left(\eta_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{i j}(\eta) \eta_{j} \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

then as $\dot{V}_{1} \rightarrow \dot{V}_{0}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

## Remark:

When $\Delta_{i}(i=1,2)$ is a scalar such as $\Delta_{i} \rightarrow \theta_{i}$, the update laws given by Eqs. (14) and (15) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\hat{\theta}}_{1 i}=\gamma_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\eta_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right) \dot{\alpha}_{i}  \tag{27}\\
\dot{\hat{\theta}}_{2}=-\gamma_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\eta_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{i j}(\eta) \eta_{j} \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 3.2 Application of the proposed adaptive control algorithm to WMR

In this subsection, we will apply an adaptive control algorithm proposed in the previous subsection to the two-wheeled WMR.

### 3.2.1 Control input for kinematic model

The kinematic model considers velocities $\nu, \omega$ as control inputs. With $\alpha=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2}\end{array}\right]^{T}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi=\left[\begin{array}{l}
e_{1} \\
e_{2} \\
e_{3}
\end{array}\right], \eta=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\nu \\
\omega
\end{array}\right], f(\xi)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nu_{r} \cos e_{3} \\
\nu_{r} \sin e_{3}-l \\
\omega_{r}
\end{array}\right], \\
& g(\xi)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & e_{2}+l \\
0 & -e_{1} \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

then Eq. (16) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & e_{2}+l \\
0 & -e_{1} \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1} \\
\alpha_{2}
\end{array}\right]=} & -\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
k_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & k_{12} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & k_{13}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{1} \\
\xi_{2} \\
\xi_{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
& -\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nu_{r} \cos e_{3} \\
\nu_{r} \sin e_{3}-l \\
\omega_{r}
\end{array}\right] \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

From the solution of kinematic model, we get

$$
\eta=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nu  \tag{30}\\
\omega
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
l\left(\omega_{r}+k_{13} e_{3}\right)+\nu_{r} \cos e_{3}+k_{11} e_{1} \\
\omega_{\tau}+k_{13} e_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with the control law for the torch

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=\nu_{r} \sin e_{3}+k_{12} e_{2} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the stabilizing function $\alpha$ is

$$
\alpha=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1}  \tag{32}\\
\alpha_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
l\left(\omega_{r}+k_{13} e_{3}\right)+\nu_{r} \cos e_{3}+k_{11} e_{1} \\
\omega_{r}+k_{13} e_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

### 3.2.2 Adaptive control

The torques, $\tau_{r w}$ and $\tau_{i w}$, acting on the driving
wheels are considered as control inputs. Both Eqs. (8) and (10) are used. Multiplying [11;1-1] and both sides of Eq. (10), and let $\theta_{i j}$ be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{11}=r m+\frac{2}{r} I_{w}, \theta_{12}=\frac{r}{b} I+\frac{2 b}{r} I_{w},  \tag{33}\\
& \theta_{2}=\frac{r}{b} m_{c} d
\end{align*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\theta_{11} & 0 \\
0 & \theta_{12}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{v} \\
\dot{\omega}
\end{array}\right]=} & \theta_{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & b \omega \\
-\omega & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nu \\
\omega
\end{array}\right]  \tag{34}\\
& +\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tau_{\tau \nu} \\
\tau_{l w}
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

In the WMR, the wheels are driven using gearmotors and the torch length is changed. It is difficult to measure or estimate exactly the moments of inertia and the distance between the geometric center and mass center, $d$. Hence in this paper, $\theta_{i j}$ are considered to be unknown system parameters. Applying the above theorem with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\theta_{11} & 0 \\
0 & \theta_{12}
\end{array}\right], \Delta_{2}=\theta_{2}, u=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\tau_{\tau w} \\
\tau_{l w}
\end{array},\right. \\
& h=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & b \omega \\
-\omega & 0
\end{array}\right], k=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

to design control law of mobile robot, the control inputs can be calculated from Eq. (22) as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{\tau \omega}=\frac{1}{2}[ & -k_{21}\left(\nu-\alpha_{1}\right)-k_{22}\left(\omega-\alpha_{2}\right)-(1+l) e_{1} \\
& \left.+e_{3}+\hat{\theta}_{11} \dot{\alpha}_{1}+\hat{\theta}_{12} \dot{\alpha}_{2}-\theta_{2} \omega(b \omega-\nu)\right]  \tag{35}\\
\tau_{l \omega}=\frac{1}{2}[ & -k_{21}\left(\nu-\alpha_{1}\right)+k_{22}\left(\omega-\alpha_{2}\right)-(1-l) e_{1} \\
& \left.-e_{3}+\hat{\theta}_{11} \dot{\alpha}_{1}+\hat{\theta}_{12} \dot{\alpha}_{2}-\theta_{2} \omega(b \omega+\nu)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is from Eq. (32) and

$$
\dot{\alpha}_{1}=-k_{11} \nu+\left[k_{11}\left(e_{2}+l\right)+k_{13} l+\nu_{r} \sin e_{3}\right] \omega
$$

$$
+l \dot{\omega}_{r}+k_{12} \omega_{r} e_{2}+k_{11} \nu_{r} \cos e_{3}+\nu_{r} k_{13} e_{3} \sin _{3}(36)
$$

$$
\dot{\alpha}_{2}=\dot{\omega}_{r}+k_{13}\left(\omega_{r}-\omega\right)
$$

The update laws can be obtained from Eqs. (25) and (28)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{11}=\gamma_{11}\left(\nu-\alpha_{1}\right) \dot{\alpha}_{1} \\
& \hat{\theta}_{12}=\gamma_{12}\left(\omega-\alpha_{2}\right) \dot{\alpha}_{2}  \tag{37}\\
& \hat{\theta}_{2}=-\gamma_{2} \omega\left[\left(\nu-\alpha_{1}\right) b \omega-\left(\omega-\alpha_{2}\right) \nu\right]
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.3 Measurement of the errors

In the mobile robot control problem, the environment information for robot operation is very important. There are two types of environments such as unknown and known environments. The former is the case of this application; such that, WMR follows an unknown smooth curved steel wall for welding. For WMR's operation, first, it is necessary to determine the free space around the WMR for its operation ; next, the WMR must itself be located at its position relative to the working path. In this paper, the controller is derived from measurement of the tracking errors. Fig. 2 describes the measurement scheme for the errors $e_{1}, e_{2}$, $e_{3}$ in Eqs. (30), (31) and (35). The two rollers are placed at $O_{1}$ and $O_{2}$. The distance between the two rollers, $O_{1} O_{2}$, is chosen according to the curve radius of the reference welding path at the contact $R\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ such as $\vec{v}_{r} / / \overrightarrow{O_{1} O_{2}}$. The roller diameters are small enough to overcome the friction force.

The errors as shown in Fig. 2 (a) can be expressed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1}=-r_{s} \sin e_{3} \\
& e_{2}=\left(l_{s}-l\right)-r_{s}\left(1-\cos e_{3}\right) \\
& e_{3}=\angle\left(O_{1} C, O_{1} O_{2}\right)-\pi / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r_{s}$ is the radius of roller and $l_{s}$ is the length of sensor. Hence we need two sensors for measuring the errors; one linear sensor for measuring ( $l_{s}-l$ ) and one angular sensor for measuring the angular between WMR's $X$ coordinate and $\vec{\nu}_{r}$. Implementation of tracking error measurement is shown in Fig. 2 (b).

## 4. Simulation and Experimental Results

To verify the effectiveness of the proposed modeling and controller, simulations and experiments have been conducted for a WMR shown in Fig. 3 with a defined reference welding path.


Fig. 2 Error measurement scheme (a), and its implementation (b)

### 4.1 Hardware of the whole system

Fig. 4 shows the schematic configuration of the WMR control system based on Microchip PIC16F877. The PIC based controller is specially designed for mobile robot with a complicated control law. The controller board integrates four PIC16F877's which comprise two servo DC motor controllers, one torch slider controller and main CPU. They are linked via I2C communication in which three controllers act as slave and the main CPU as master. To retrieve the tracking control scheme, two kinds of control are implemented on I2C communication with sampling time of 10 ms : one is velocity control for the kinematic model in which the controllers of two wheels receive the reference velocity from the


Fig. 3 The WMR for experiment
master CPU and pulses from encoders; the other is the torque control for the dynamic model in which the controllers receive the reference torque from the master CPU and torque feedback signal from the DC motor driver composed of LMD 18200. Two A/D ports on the master are used to receive signals from the two sensors of the linear potentiometer and angular potentiometer. The errors are calculated with the sensor gain, and the control laws are rendered respectively.

### 4.2 Simulation and experimental results

The numerical values used in this simulation are given as Table 1 and Table 2. The reference

Table 1 The numerical values for simulation

| Parameter | Value | Unit | Parameter | Value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b$ | 0.105 | m | $d$ | 0.01 | m |
| $r$ | 0.025 | m | $m_{c}$ | 16.9 | kg |
| $m_{\boldsymbol{w}}$ | 0.3 | kg | $I_{c}$ | 0.2081 | $\mathrm{kgm}^{2}$ |
| $I_{w}$ | $3.75 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\mathrm{kgm}^{2}$ | $I_{m}$ | $4.96 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\mathrm{kgm}^{2}$ |

Table 2 The initial values for simulation

| Parameter | Value | Unit | Parameter | Value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{r}$ | 0.280 | m | $y_{r}$ | 0.400 | m |
| $x_{w}$ | 0.270 | m | $y_{w}$ | 0.390 | m |
| $\nu$ | 0 | $\mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ | $\omega$ | 0 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\phi_{r}$ | 0 | deg | $\phi$ | 15 | deg |
| $l$ | 0.15 | m | $\omega_{r}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ |



Fig. 4 The configuration of the control system
path is chosen as shown in Fig. 5. The welding speed is $7.5 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$. The positive constants in the controller are chosen as $k_{11}=4.2, k_{12}=8, k_{13}=3.4$ and $k_{21}=k_{22}=10$. The adaptation gains are $\gamma_{11}=$ $\gamma_{12}=\gamma_{2}=1$.


Fig. 5 Reference welding path


Fig. 6 WMR's movement when tracking reference welding path


Fig. 7 Tracking errors at beginning

The simulation results are given in Figs. 7-18. The movement of the WMR when it tracks its reference welding path is illustrated in Fig. 6. At the beginning, the WMR adjusts its position very fast to reduce the initial error. These tracking errors can be seen in Fig. 7 and experimental


Fig. 8 Tracking error $e_{1}$ at beginning


Fig. 9 Tracking error $e_{2}$ at beginning


Fig. 10 Tracking error $e_{3}$ at beginning
errors are shown in Fig. 8-10. After about 1.5 seconds, the welding torch attains desired velocity as shown in Fig. 11. From straight line to curved line, there is a sudden change of $\omega_{r}$ (from zero to a constant); therefore, there are errors as shown


Fig. 11 Velocities of welding point and WMR


Fig. 12 Tracking errors at corner


Fig. 13 Velocities of welding point and WMR at corner
in Fig. 12 and the corresponding welding velocity is shown in Fig. 13. The parameter estimation errors are given in Figs. 14-16. Torch slider must be controlled so as to follow Eq. (31) to get the desired tracking performance. Torch slider speed is given in Fig. 17 and torch length is given in


Fig. 14 Estimation error $\widetilde{\theta}_{11}$


Fig. 15 Estimation error $\tilde{\theta}_{12}$


Fig. 16 Estimation error $\tilde{\theta}_{2}$


Fig. 17 Torch velocity


Fig. 18 Torch length

Fig. 18. From the simulation and experimental results, the proposed controller attains good tracking performance.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we proposed a design method of an adaptive motion tracking controller of partially known system for the two-wheeled welding mobile robot. Moments of inertia of the system are considered to be unknown system parameters, and the controlled system is stable in the sense of Lyapunov stability. In addition, the proposed control algorithm is examined by computer simulation and experiment on the WMR with a smooth curved reference welding path to show the effectiveness of the proposed controller. It is shown that the controller can be used for the WMR with good performances.
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